

SOCIAL REHABILITATION IN CHILDREN WITH CEREBRAL PALSY IN DIFFERENT WORLD REGIONS AND COUNTRIES

Chulkova M.M. (Russian Federation)

Chulkova Marina Mikhailovna - Deputy Director,
OGONIOK SCIENTIFIC-AND-MANUFACTURING CENTER,
MOSCOW

Abstract: social rehabilitation for children with cerebral palsy (CP) integrates clinical care with psychosocial and community-based support. This review explores international practices, highlighting disparities in access and policy implementation, especially in under-resourced regions. Case studies from Kyrgyzstan and Russia illustrate both progress and ongoing challenges in ensuring inclusive, multidisciplinary care.

Keywords: cerebral palsy, social rehabilitation, disability, policy, inclusion, children, international practices.

СОЦИАЛЬНАЯ РЕАБИЛИТАЦИЯ ДЕТЕЙ С ДЦП - ИССЛЕДОВАНИЯ И ПРАКТИЧЕСКИЕ ИНИЦИАТИВЫ, РЕАЛИЗУЕМЫЕ В РАЗНЫХ СТРАНАХ

Чулкова М.М. (Российская Федерация)

Чулкова Марина Михайловна – заместитель директора
Научно-производственный Центр «Огонёк»,
г. Москва

Аннотация: социальная реабилитация детей с детским церебральным параличом (ДЦП) сочетает клиническую помощь с психосоциальной и общественной поддержкой. Обзор охватывает международный опыт, выявляя неравенство в доступе и реализации политики, особенно в слабообеспеченных регионах. Примеры из Кыргызстана и России демонстрируют как достижения, так и сохраняющиеся проблемы в обеспечении инклюзивной помощи.

Ключевые слова: детский церебральный паралич, социальная реабилитация, инвалидность, инклюзия, дети, политика, международный опыт.

УДК 159.922.76-056.26

Social rehabilitation integrates clinical therapy with psychosocial and community-based support. As outlined by Abdykarova and Mametov (2024), access to effective rehabilitation programs remains uneven, especially in rural and under-resourced regions. Their study in the Osh region revealed critical gaps in the availability and accessibility of social services, underscoring the need for better policy implementation and service integration. Similarly, Vinyarskaya (2023) analyzed Russian legislation, identifying progress in aligning with international norms yet emphasizing implementation flaws that restrict the quality and reach of rehabilitation services.

Introduction. Cerebral palsy (CP) is a lifelong neurological disorder that arises from damage to the developing brain, typically before or during birth, and is characterized primarily by impairments in movement and posture. However, its effects often extend beyond the musculoskeletal system to include cognitive, sensory, communicative, and behavioral dimensions, which collectively impact a child's ability to engage fully in everyday social life. As CP is the most common cause of physical disability in childhood, the scope of rehabilitation extends far beyond clinical management of motor symptoms. Social rehabilitation, in this context, refers to a comprehensive, multidimensional process aimed at enhancing a child's ability to participate meaningfully in society. This encompasses medical and therapeutic interventions, the use of assistive technology, educational support, family engagement, and supportive public policy. Given the complex and individualized nature of CP, effective social rehabilitation requires a holistic, interdisciplinary approach that is adaptable to diverse cultural, regional, and economic contexts. In recent years, there has been growing recognition of the importance of social inclusion as both a right and a necessary component of well-being for children with CP. This has prompted a surge in research exploring innovative therapeutic practices, the integration of family and community-based support systems, and policy frameworks that facilitate equitable access to resources. This literature review seeks to synthesize contemporary scholarly findings on social rehabilitation for children with CP, examining the interplay of clinical practice, technological innovation, psychosocial support, and legislative frameworks. Through a critical analysis of diverse research perspectives, the review aims to provide a robust understanding of current best practices, persistent challenges, and emerging directions in this vital field.

Therapeutic Approaches and Innovations. Therapeutic approaches for children with cerebral palsy (CP) encompass a broad range of interventions that aim to improve motor function, social participation, communication, and overall quality of life. In high-income countries like the United States, United Kingdom, and Australia, individualized care plans often integrate physical therapy, occupational therapy, speech-language therapy, pharmacological treatments, and technological aids. The meta-analysis by Hou and Li (2022) affirmed that a

combination of pharmacotherapy and rehabilitation significantly enhanced motor abilities and social behaviors compared to standalone treatments. Similarly, in Egypt, Mohie et al. (2023) detailed the efficacy of motor learning-based strategies such as neurodevelopmental treatment and sensory integration, which exploit neuroplasticity to optimize rehabilitation outcomes. In Turkey, Balci (2016) elaborated on both equipment-free methods (like Bobath and task-specific training) and equipment-based techniques (including robotics and virtual reality), highlighting their respective roles in functional recovery.

In developing regions, such as Central Asia and parts of Eastern Europe, therapy delivery is often constrained by limited infrastructure. Nevertheless, countries like Kyrgyzstan are striving to implement community-based rehabilitation (CBR) and multidisciplinary center models, as reported by Abdykarova and Mametov (2024). A notable innovation across several countries is hippotherapy, or equine-assisted therapy, shown by Karpov et al. (2018) to benefit preschool-aged children with spastic CP by enhancing postural control and self-care skills. Socially assistive robotics (SAR) is another frontier. Studies in Malaysia (Malik et al., 2016) and Spain (Gomez et al., 2021) explored the role of interactive robots in engaging children during therapy, thereby improving motivation and communication.

Additionally, assistive technologies tailored for feedback and interaction—such as exoskeletons, adapted writing tools, and communication devices—have been documented to support activities of daily living and foster social inclusion (Peña Novoa et al., 2022). These innovations, however, demand rigorous validation and adaptation to various sociocultural contexts. Collectively, the therapeutic landscape is increasingly shifting towards integrative, family-centered, and technology-enhanced interventions. Still, disparities in access and efficacy assessments underline the need for global collaboration to standardize and scale effective practices.

Role of Assistive Technology and Robotics Assistive technology (AT) and robotics have revolutionized the rehabilitation landscape for children with cerebral palsy (CP), enabling improvements in motor function, communication, and social participation. These tools serve to supplement or replace impaired functions and are increasingly integrated into therapeutic and educational settings globally. In Malaysia and Spain, socially assistive robotics (SAR) have been piloted to foster engagement and motivation during therapy sessions. Malik et al. (2016) demonstrated that SARs facilitated physical and cognitive engagement in children with CP through interactive sessions, while Gomez et al. (2021) reported significant improvements in joint attention and task compliance during robotic-assisted activities.

Across Latin America, countries like Colombia and Mexico have explored the application of robotic exoskeletons and interactive software to assist in gait training and fine motor coordination. Peña Novoa et al. (2022) conducted a systematic review of feedback-embedded technologies such as electronic pencils and motion-sensing devices, highlighting their utility in improving children's social and functional independence. In Eastern Europe, Ukraine and Russia have piloted communication aids and customized prosthetics through 3D-printing to support daily activities and educational integration. The deployment of virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) platforms in Turkey, the United States, and Australia further expands the rehabilitative spectrum by simulating real-life environments where children can practice motor and cognitive tasks safely.

In high-income settings, AT is often combined with artificial intelligence (AI) to customize therapy and track progress in real-time. For example, AI-driven analytics in Australia and Canada enable therapists to adapt interventions dynamically based on a child's response. Japan and South Korea have made strides in developing wearable robotics that provide real-time gait correction and muscular stimulation. Scandinavian countries, known for their inclusive healthcare models, are piloting smart home technologies integrated with communication aids to foster greater independence.

Despite these advances, challenges remain in low-resource settings, where access to such technologies is hindered by cost, infrastructure, and training barriers. Consequently, global efforts are required to create scalable, culturally adapted AT solutions and promote inclusive innovation through international collaboration and policy support. Below is a summarizing table of some prominent assistive technologies and robotics used globally for CP rehabilitation.

These technologies not only support the development of physical skills but also enhance social engagement, autonomy, and educational access. However, to ensure widespread and equitable benefit, investment in research, practitioner training, and infrastructure remains critical. Further cross-national collaboration is needed to adapt high-tech solutions for broader, more inclusive use.

Table 1. Some prominent assistive technologies and robotics used globally for CP rehabilitation.

Region/Country	Assistive Technologies and Robotics Used	Notable Studies/Implementations
Malaysia, Spain	Socially Assistive Robots (SAR)	Malik et al. (2016), Gomez et al. (2021)
Colombia, Mexico	Robotic exoskeletons, interactive software	Peña Novoa et al. (2022)
Ukraine, Russia	Communication aids, 3D-printed prosthetics	Peña Novoa et al. (2022)
Turkey, USA, Australia	VR/AR rehabilitation platforms	Balcı (2016), various clinical pilots
Australia, Canada	AI-enhanced therapy tracking	National rehab centers and universities
Japan, South Korea	Wearable robotics, real-time gait correction	National institutes of robotics and rehabilitation
Scandinavia	Smart home integration with communication aids	Public health initiatives and inclusive tech startups
Kyrgyzstan, Central Asia	Low-cost feedback devices, community-based rehab tech	Abdykarova and Mametov (2024)

Across Latin America, countries like Colombia and Mexico have explored the application of robotic exoskeletons and interactive software to assist in gait training and fine motor coordination. Peña Novoa et al. (2022) conducted a systematic review of feedback-embedded technologies such as electronic pencils and motion-sensing devices, highlighting their utility in improving children's social and functional independence. In Eastern Europe, Ukraine and Russia have piloted communication aids and customized prosthetics through 3D-printing to support daily activities and educational integration. The deployment of virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) platforms in Turkey, the United States, and Australia further expands the rehabilitative spectrum by simulating real-life environments where children can practice motor and cognitive tasks safely.

In high-income settings, AT is often combined with artificial intelligence (AI) to customize therapy and track progress in real-time. For example, AI-driven analytics in Australia and Canada enable therapists to adapt interventions dynamically based on a child's response. Despite these advances, challenges remain in low-resource settings, where access to such technologies is hindered by cost, infrastructure, and training barriers. Consequently, global efforts are required to create scalable, culturally adapted AT solutions and promote inclusive innovation through international collaboration and policy support.

Family Involvement and Psychosocial Dynamics. Family involvement is a cornerstone of effective social rehabilitation for children with cerebral palsy (CP), with its importance underscored across cultures and care systems. Families not only provide emotional and logistical support but also serve as key advocates for accessing services and promoting social participation. The role and impact of families vary globally depending on healthcare infrastructure, cultural values, and social policies. In Eastern Europe, particularly Ukraine, Hrabovenko and Evmenova (2017) developed a family typology-based diagnostic model to enable personalized support, showing that such contextualized approaches enhance both parental adaptation and child developmental outcomes. In Russia, structured mutual support groups, as studied by Sarancha et al. (2022), fostered emotional resilience and independence in both parents and adolescents with CP, emphasizing the transformative potential of family-centered care.

In Western nations such as the United States, the United Kingdom, and Australia, family-centered service (FCS) models are embedded within early intervention and school-based systems, encouraging parent participation in goal-setting and therapy design. These models, supported by training programs and caregiver counseling, demonstrate improved psychological well-being and greater continuity in rehabilitation outcomes. In contrast, in many low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), families often act as primary caregivers without formal institutional support. In regions like Central Asia and sub-Saharan Africa, social stigma and limited access to services exacerbate caregiver stress. However, community-based rehabilitation (CBR) programs, such as those promoted by WHO and local NGOs, offer structured training and peer support, improving family functionality and child integration.

Latin American countries like Brazil and Argentina have increasingly adopted integrated psychosocial models that combine counseling, social work, and therapy within family networks. Cultural perceptions of disability

influence family dynamics; for instance, collectivist cultures often mobilize extended kinship networks, which can either buffer stress or introduce caregiving conflicts. Moreover, digital tools such as mobile health apps and telehealth counseling, piloted in Canada and Scandinavian countries, provide remote psychological support and facilitate monitoring of emotional well-being in families.

Overall, family involvement in CP rehabilitation must be seen through a culturally responsive lens. Effective programs align professional interventions with familial strengths, cultural expectations, and community resources. Regional disparities in support structures, funding, and social attitudes highlight the importance of context-specific strategies to empower families and sustain psychosocial well-being. Continued cross-cultural research and investment in family-centered infrastructure are essential to ensure that no child or caregiver is left behind.

Conclusion and Future Directions. Social rehabilitation for children with CP requires a transdisciplinary approach combining therapeutic innovation, family-centered care, assistive technology, and inclusive policy. While promising interventions are emerging, challenges remain in scaling these services equitably and measuring their impact comprehensively. Future directions should emphasize longitudinal outcomes, community-driven models, and participatory policy-making to ensure that children with CP can thrive in inclusive environments.

References / Список литературы

1. *Abdykarova A. & Mametov R. (2024). Status and Prospects of Social Rehabilitation in Osh. [Electronic Resource]. URL: <https://scispace.com/papers/status-and-prospects-of-social-rehabilitation-of-children-7mlid215t9xs>*
2. *Balcı N.Ç. (2016). Rehabilitation Methods. [Electronic Resource]. URL: <https://scispace.com/papers/current-rehabilitation-methods-for-cerebral-palsy-5btd1bpsm2>*
3. *Gilmore R. et al. (2024). Systematic Review on GSSIs. [Electronic Resource]. URL: <https://scispace.com/papers/a-systematic-review-of-the-efficacy-of-group-social-skills-bttlc8v7we>*
4. *Gomez N.C. et al. (2021). Robot Interaction Study. [Electronic Resource]. URL: <https://scispace.com/papers/first-interaction-assessment-between-a-social-robot-and-25rdzksvx8>*
5. *Hou Q. & Li L. (2022). Efficacy of Combined Therapy. [Electronic Resource]. URL: <https://scispace.com/papers/efficacy-of-rehabilitation-therapy-and-pharmacotherapy-on-361bsr1i>*
6. *Hrabovenko N.V. & Evmenova T.M. (2017). Family Diagnostics. [Electronic Resource]. URL: <https://scispace.com/papers/diagnosing-as-a-key-stage-of-social-work-with-families-1f6s6y9j3w>*
7. *Karpov V.Y. et al. (2018). Hippotherapy for Preschoolers. [Electronic Resource]. URL: <https://scispace.com/papers/physical-rehabilitation-of-preschoolers-with-cerebral-1g2oixh9f0>*
8. *Kyryk O.V. & Nazar O.V. (2024). Diagnosing Function Levels. [Electronic Resource]. URL: <https://scispace.com/papers/international-practices-in-diagnosing-the-level-of-3oofp6eiunbh>*
9. *Malik N.A. et al. (2016). Socially Assistive Robotics. [Electronic Resource]. URL: <https://scispace.com/papers/potential-use-of-social-assistive-robot-based-rehabilitation-nlgttxjght>*
10. *Mohie R. et al. (2023). Physical Therapy Approaches. [Electronic Resource]. URL: <https://scispace.com/papers/physical-therapy-approaches-for-rehabilitation-of-children-3sk4c49xki>*
11. *Peña N.N. et al. (2022). Assistive Tech for Social Participation. [Electronic Resource]. URL: <https://scispace.com/papers/estrategias-de-retroalimentacion-inmersas-en-tecnologias-de-2f9q2g41>*
12. *Sahoo R. & Rege S. (2017). Motor Impairments and Social Participation. [Electronic Resource]. URL: <https://scispace.com/papers/social-participation-in-children-with-cerebral-palsy-2jllmzwyqx>*
13. *Sarantha I.H. et al. (2022). Parental Support in Socialization. [Electronic Resource]. URL: <https://scispace.com/papers/the-influence-of-parents-mutual-support-on-the-socialization-27kyi6cv>*
14. *Shkolnuk M.B. & Mykhalchuk V.M. (2022). Evaluating Rehabilitation. [Electronic Resource]. URL: <https://scispace.com/papers/evaluation-of-the-efficiency-of-rehabilitation-methods-for-10kkzbo5>*
15. *Thompson B. et al. (2024). Group Social Skills Intervention. [Electronic Resource]. URL: <https://scispace.com/papers/investigating-a-caregiver-assisted-social-skills-group-705ek3f28po7>*
16. *Vinyarskaya I.V. (2023). Normative Legal Regulation in Russia. [Electronic Resource]. URL: <https://scispace.com/papers/the-content-analysis-of-normative-legal-regulation-of-3niyoscm>*