

Origin of economic crime
Inkin I.¹, Lapin A.², Mikheev G.³ (Russian Federation)
Происхождение экономической преступности
Инкин И. А.¹, Лапин А. В.², Михеев Г. В.³ (Российская Федерация)

¹Инкин Игорь Александрович / Inkin Igor – студент;

²Лапин Антон Вадимович / Lapin Anton – студент;

³Михеев Георгий Владиславович / Mikheev Georgy – член гильдии маркетологов России, преподаватель,
Кубанский государственный технологический университет, г. Краснодар

Abstract: *the nature of economic crime and its stages of evolution is considered. Parameters of economic crime are revealed.*

Аннотация: *рассмотрена природа экономической преступности и этапы ее эволюции. Выявлены параметры экономической преступности.*

Keywords: *economic crime, illegal actions, business space.*

Ключевые слова: *экономическая преступность, противозаконные действия, бизнес-пространство.*

Today taking into account the acting policy and strategic parameters of fight against economic crimes becomes, it is obvious that the economic space will amplify attempts of implementation of thefts and corruption. Growth of organized crime will result in a bigger inability to resist to crime. Similar circumstances will strengthen the crisis phenomena, will lead to aggravations in economy and will cause social tension among all segments of the population.

The term "economic crime" is actual and often used in modern turnover, but it is important to note that commonly accepted among criminological and criminal legal institutions it is not. This circumstance complicates prospects of development of this term, its general understanding, treatment and standardization of the legislation with parameters of eradication of economic crimes.

Fixed changes in economic space predetermine evolution of criminal practice and parameters of fight against economic crimes. Difficulties of criminal legal regulation of economic crimes are connected with the nature of the problem [1, p. 58 - 63], an imperfect complex of scientific understanding and understanding of a problem of changes of economic crimes.

Development of a phenomenon of "economic crime" interests researchers in the field of jurisprudence throughout a long time. We will consider in more detail the nature of "economic crime".

With the advent of the property relations in society, property encroachments are shown, forming and modeling illegal acts. The crime passed in the property environment as follows: the person, the made illegal action, roughly violated the subjective rights of citizens to things where the property belonging to the other person was a subject of such encroachments, the property could be both movable, and just physical element.

Active occurrence of economic parameters on turnover property, have considerably fueled tension of civil society. These manifestations in the property environment have formed new types of illegal acts which brought the mass of inconveniences, but did not fall under the concept "plunder". Development of the property environment has led to development of property crimes. The basis of the property environment and again formed illegal acts are the first economic crimes to which it is possible to refer all illegal acts relating in one way or another to the economic relations, created at the level of managing and beyond its limits. It should be noted that a large number of the committed crimes of general criminal value have an economic focus, namely motivation on the mercenary purposes and tasks aimed at various interests (public, private, economic), and, therefore, they press and encroach on the economic relations between participants of interaction, causing social tension in society. The aforesaid allows us to allocate two main directions of economic interaction: a) the relations of owners among participants of interaction; b) the organizational and economic relations between the interacting parties. Having considered the initial nature of "economic crime" we will formulate basic determination "economic crimes".

Forming of parameters of economic crime happens during modification of social and economic functions of the state. The state influences the majority of processes of economic space for steady functioning and development of the country [2, p. 85 - 87].

Evolution of economic crime was gradually structured, uniting new regulations with the old parameters studied earlier. Transformation of economic crimes is directed as to criminal acts in an economic environment, and on the acts which are not connected with an economic environment, but performed within a business system. The similar treatment has been implemented by Edwin Sutherland [3]. Basic elements of the doctrine of Edwin Sutherland were persons (subjects) the holding high status and/or a post in a business environment which made the illegal actions which are crimes in the course of professional activity directed for the benefit of motivational value of various links and chains (own interests, interests of legal entities).

The operating economic space criminological is characterized as an imperfect complex of activities where staking on fair participants who conduct the business activity within the law, there are weak points which involve swindlers and criminals of various social status to make these or those illegal actions. Disclosure of the criminal and legal nature of "economic crime" allows us to formulate the following conclusions:

1. Illegal actions against an economic system are created of various encroachments directed to the criminal relation to property and orders of business activity of various subjects of the relations;
2. For separation of the crimes concerning property and a sort of orders of business activity of economic space there is an area of real and obligations legal relationship within criminal legal regulation;
3. Illegal actions (deception) in liabilities of the parties build up character of a crime against an order of business activity of the economic space possessing the following parametrical signs: a) implementation of a crime in the course of business activity; b) implementation of a crime with motivation on extraction of the maximum benefit and/or profit; c) implementation of a crime taking into account the mercenary purposes and tasks of malice; d) implementation of a crime fraudulently;
4. The basis of the relations of participants of interaction in economic space creates interest which is directed to receipt of satisfaction through material benefits.

At the present stage of business activity, economic regularities and their parametrical properties allow to bring judgment in problem sites of criminal legal regulation of economic crimes. The similar contribution gives the chance of enhancement of effective measures for fight against the arising threats that in the future will allow to build strong economic safety.

Литература

1. *Genrih N. V.* Predmet ugovnogo prava v kontekste teoreticheskikh problem pravoponimaniya // Journal rossiyskogo prava, 2010. № 9 (165). P. 58-69.
2. *Kuznetsova O. A., Mikheev G. V.* Teoreticheskie aspect strategicheskogo upravleniya. // Ekonomika, sociologiya i pravo, 2015. № 1. P. 85-88.
3. *Sutherland Edwin H.* Is «White Collar Crime» Crime? // American Sociological Review, 1945. Issue 10. P. 132-139.